

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/685,983	10/15/2003	Yuriy Zakharov	G&C 184.2-US-II 7100		
22462	7590 12/04/2006	•	EXAMINER		
-	COOPER LLP	DO, CHAT C			
	IUGHES CENTER ER DRIVE WEST, SUITE 10	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
LOS ANGELES, CA 90045			2193		
			DATE MAILED: 12/04/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicat	Application No. Applicant(s)					
		10/685,9	83	ZAKHAROV ET AL.				
Office Action Summary			r	Art Unit				
		Chat C. [· ·	2193				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communic r Reply	ation appears on th	e cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addre	ss			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failui Any r	CRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIN IS IN A CONTROL OF THE MAI	ILING DATE OF T 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no en incation. tory period will apply and v II, by statute, cause the app	HIS COMMUNICATION yent, however, may a reply be timused the control of the contro	N. nely filed the mailing date of this comm D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·			
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>15 October</u> 200	03.					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.							
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)🛛	Claim(s) 1-122 is/are pending in the a	pplication.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)	6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7)	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) 1-122 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Applicati	on Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119	·						
12) 🗌 ,	Acknowledgment is made of a claim fo ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	r foreign priority ur	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	o-(d) or (f).				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
	3. Copies of the certified copies of				ige .			
	application from the Internationa	al Bureau (PCT Ru	le 17.2(a)).		•			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachment	ds)							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) X Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. attached herein								
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date		5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application				
- apor recognition bate								

Application/Control Number: 10/685,983

Art Unit: 2193

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-73 and 75-80, drawn to a method for solving a system of N linear equations in N unknown variables using update estimation, classified in class 708, subclass 446.
 - II. Claim 74, drawn to a method for solving a system of N linear equations in N unknown variables using quadratic function, classified in class 708, subclass 446.
 - III. Claims 81-82, drawn to a filtering technique for obtaining data from plurality of users, classified in class 708, subclass 300.
 - IV. Claims 83-84, drawn to a method of generating filter coefficients for use in an echo, classified in class 708, subclass 322.
 - V. Claims 85-107, drawn to a method for solving a system of N linear equations in N unknown variables using vector, classified in class 708, subclass 446.
 - VI. Claims 108-116 and 119, drawn to a computer internal structure for solving a system of linear equation, classified in class 708, subclass 200.
 - VII. Claims 117-118, drawn to match filtering technique, classified in class 708, subclass 300.
 - VIII. Claims 120-122, drawn to an adaptive filter, classified in class 708, subclass 322.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions Groups I-VIII are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because each individual Group does not require the limitations/features/structure of other Groups. The subcombination has separate utility such as Group I discloses a method for solving a system of N linear equations in N unknown variables using update estimation; Group II discloses a method for solving a system of N linear equations in N unknown variables using quadratic function; Group III discloses a filtering technique for obtaining data from plurality of users; Group IV discloses a method of generating filter coefficients for use in an echo; Group V discloses a method for solving a system of N linear equations in N unknown variables using vector; Group VI discloses a computer internal structure for solving a system of linear equation; Group VIII discloses a match filtering technique; and Group VIII discloses an adaptive filter.

The examiner has required restriction between combination and subcombination inventions. Where applicant elects a subcombination, and claims thereto are subsequently found allowable, any claim(s) depending from or otherwise requiring all the limitations of the allowable subcombination will be examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. See MPEP § 821.04(a). Applicant is advised that if any claim presented in a continuation or divisional application is anticipated by, or includes all the limitations of, a claim that is allowable

Art Unit: 2193

in the present application, such claim may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application.

- 3. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 5. A telephone call was made to William Wood on 11/28/2006 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Art Unit: 2193

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chat C. Do whose telephone number is (571) 272-3721. The examiner can normally be reached on Tue-Fri 9:00AM to 7:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Meng-Ai An can be reached on (571) 272-3756. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Chat C. Do Examiner Art Unit 2193

November 29, 2006